arXiv:math/0505189v1 [math.OA] 10 May 2005

A Property (T) for C^*-algebras

Bachir Bekka

February 8, 2008

Abstract

We define a notion of Property (T) for an arbitrary C^*-algebra A admitting a tracial state. We extend this to a notion of Property (T) for the pair (A, B), where B is a C^*-subalgebra of A. Let Γ be a discrete group and $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ its reduced algebra. We show that $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ has Property (T) if and only if the group Γ has Property (T). More generally, given a subgroup Λ of Γ, the pair $(C_r^*(\Gamma), C_r^*(\Lambda))$ has Property (T) if and only if the pair of groups (Γ, Λ) has Property (T).

1 Introduction

Let G be a locally compact group. Recall that G has Kazhdan's Property (T) if, whenever a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G almost has invariant vectors, $\mathcal{H}$ has a non-zero invariant vector (see [Kazh67]; [HaVa89]).

A. Connes defined a notion of Property (T) for type II_1-factors [Conn82] and it was proved in [CoJo85] that a discrete ICC-group Γ has Property (T) if and only if the von Neumann algebra $L(\Gamma)$ generated by its left regular representation has Property (T). Jolissaint [Joli93] gave an extension of this result to arbitrary discrete groups. (Recall that a group is ICC if all its conjugacy classes, except the unit element, are infinite.) For an extensive study of Property (T) for von Neumann algebras and, more generally, for inclusions of von Neumann algebras, see the notes of S. Popa [Popa86].

We introduce Property (T) for an arbitrary unital C^*-algebra admitting a tracial state (see Remark 15 below) through an adaptation of Connes' definition. This allows us to express Property (T) for an arbitrary countable group in terms of its reduced C^*-algebra.

Let A be a C^*-algebra or a von Neumann algebra. A Hilbert bimodule over A is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ carrying two commuting representations, one of A and one of the opposite algebra A^0; we will write

$$\xi \mapsto x\xi y, \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

(In the case where A is a von Neumann algebra, we consider only normal representations of A.)

Recall that a tracial state on a unital C^*-algebra A is a positive linear functional $\tau : A \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ for all $x, y \in A$ and $\tau(1) = 1$.

Definition 1 Let A be either a unital C^*-algebra admitting a tracial state or a finite von Neumann algebra. We say that A has Property (T) if there exist a finite subset F of A and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following property holds: if a Hilbert bimodule $\mathcal{H}$ for A contains a unit vector ξ which is (F, ε)-central, that is, such that

$$\|y\xi - \xi y\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall y \in F,$$

then $\mathcal{H}$ has a non-zero central vector, that is, a vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x\eta = \eta x$ for all $x \in A$.

Our main result shows that Property (T) for a countable discrete group Γ only depends on its reduced C^*-algebra $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. Recall that $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is the norm closure of the linear span of $\{\lambda_\Gamma(\gamma) \ : \ \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$, where λ_Γ is the left regular representation of Γ. The mapping $x \mapsto \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$ is a (faithful) tracial state on $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. The von Neumann algebra $L(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ generated by $C^*_r(\Gamma)$, in other words, $L(\Gamma)$ is the closure of the linear span of $\{\lambda_\Gamma(\gamma) \ : \ \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ in the weak operator topology. We shall also consider the maximal (or full) C^*-algebra $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$ of Γ, that is, the completion of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma\| = \sup_\pi \|\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} a_\gamma \pi(\gamma)\|,$$

where π runs over the set of all equivalence classes of cyclic unitary representations of Γ.

Theorem 2 *Let Γ be a countable discrete group, and let A be a C^*-algebra. Assume that A is a quotient of $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$ and that $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is a quotient of A. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) Γ *has Property (T);*

(ii) A *has Property (T);*

(iii) $L(\Gamma)$ *has Property (T);*

Observe that a C^*-algebra A as in theorem above has at least one tracial state. Indeed, since $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is a quotient of A, the canonical trace of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ lifts to a tracial state of A.

Apart from $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ and $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$, examples of C^*-algebras as in the theorem arise from unitary representations of Γ which vanish at infinity. If $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of the discrete group Γ, we denote by $C^*_\pi(\Gamma)$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ generated by $\{\pi(\gamma) \ : \ \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Such an algebra is of course a quotient of $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$. The following is Proposition 1 in [BeHa94] (see also the comments at this end of this paper).

Proposition 3 *([BeHa94]) Let* Γ *be an ICC group, and let* π *be a unitary representation of* Γ. *Assume that all matrix coefficients of* π *are in* $c_0(\Gamma)$. *Then* $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ *is a quotient of* $C^*_\pi(\Gamma)$.

Using [BeHa94, Théorème 1] and using the fact that a lattice Γ in a locally compact group G has Property (T) if and only if G has Property (T), we obtain the following class of examples.

Corollary 4 *Let* G *be a connected, non compact simple real group with trivial centre. Let* π *be a unitary representation of* G *which is not a multiple of the trivial representation. For any lattice* Γ *in* G, *the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) G *has Property (T);*

(ii) $C^*_{\pi|_\Gamma}(\Gamma)$ *has Property (T).*

Observe that, if in the previous corollary the representation π is irreducible and not square-integrable, then $C^*_{\pi|_\Gamma}(\Gamma)$ is a primitive C^*-algebra. Indeed, as shown by Cowling and Steger [CoSt91], in this case, the restriction of π to Γ is irreducible. The simple real Lie groups with Property (T) are known: these are exactly the simple real Lie groups which are not locally isomorphic to $SO(n,1)$ or $SU(n,1)$ (see [HaVa89]).

We now define Property (T) for pairs consisting of a C^*-algebra and a C^*-subalgebra. Recall that the notion of Property (T) for groups can be

generalized to a notion of Property (T) for pairs (G, H), also called relative Property (T), where G is a locally compact group and H a closed subgroup. Such a pair (G, H) is said to have Property (T) if, whenever a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G almost has invariant vectors, it has a non-zero H-invariant vector (see [HaVa89]). It is clear that Property (T) for the group G is equivalent to Property (T) for the pair (G, G). A prominent example of a pair with Property (T) is the pair $(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^2)$, where $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is the semi-direct product for the natural action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Definition 5 Let A be a unital C^*-algebra admitting a tracial state (respectively, a finite von Neumann algebra) and let B be a C^*-subalgebra (respectively, a von Neumann subalgebra) of A. The pair (A, B) has Property (T) if there exist a finite subset F of A and $\varepsilon > 0$ with the following property: if a Hilbert bimodule $\mathcal{H}$ for A contains a unit vector ξ which is (F, ε)-central, then $\mathcal{H}$ has a non-zero B-central vector, that is, a vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $b\eta = \eta b$ for all $b \in B$.

Theorem 2 can be extended to pairs as follows. Let Γ be a discrete group and Λ a subgroup of Γ. The restriction of the regular representation λ_Γ of Γ to Λ is a multiple of λ_Λ. This implies that the canonical embedding of group algebras $\mathbb{C}\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ extends to isometric embeddings $C_r^*(\Lambda) \to C_r^*(\Gamma)$ and $L(\Lambda) \to L(\Gamma)$. Moreover, since every function of positive type on Λ extends (in a trivial way) to a function of positive type on Γ, every unitary representation of Λ is contained in the restriction of a unitary representation of Γ. This shows that the embedding $\mathbb{C}\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ extends also to an isometric embedding $C_{\max}^*(\Lambda) \to C_{\max}^*(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 6 *Let Γ be a countable discrete group and Λ a subgroup of Γ. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) the pair (Γ, Λ) has Property (T);

(ii) the pair $(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma), C_{\max}^*(\Lambda))$ has Property (T);

(iii) the pair $(C_r^*(\Gamma), C_r^*(\Lambda))$ has Property (T);

(iv) the pair $(L(\Gamma), L(\Lambda))$ has Property (T).

Remark 7 The definition given above of Property (T) for a pair of von Neumann algebras appeared in the first version of [Popa03]. In the final version of [Popa03], this definition was replaced by a stronger notion of Property (T), called "rigid embedding" of von Neumann algebras. This notion seems to be the more useful one (compare also [PePo03]). Moreover, a proof of the equivalence of (i) and Property (T) for the pair $(L(\Gamma), L(\Lambda))$ in the strong sense was given in [Popa03, 5.1 Proposition].

For the proof of Theorem 6, we will use the following characterization of Property (T) for pairs of groups, which is of independent interest. This result is an extension of [BeVa93, Theorem 1], where the case $H = G$ is considered.

Theorem 8 *Let G be a σ-compact locally compact group and H a closed subgroup of G. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) The pair (G, H) has Property (T);

(ii) if a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G almost has invariant vectors, then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a non-zero finite dimensional subspace which is invariant under H.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 8. The proof given in [BeVa93] carries over to pairs, but, for the convenience of the reader, we prefer to give a different and shorter proof of this result (for another proof, see also [Joli04, Lemma 2.1]). Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6. In Section 4, the relationship between Property (T) and nuclearity is explored. We give there a C^*-algebraic analogue (see Proposition 11 below) of the known fact that a discrete group which has Property (T) and which is amenable has to be finite. Section 5 contains some remarks around our definition of Property (T).

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank E. Blanchard, M. Gromov, P. de la Harpe, G. Skandalis and T. Steger for helpful comments.

2 Proof of Theorem 8

It is obvious that (i) implies (ii). To show the converse, assume that (G, H) does not have Property (T). Since G is σ-compact, there exists a function $\psi : G \to \mathbb{R}$ of conditionally negative type such that the restriction $\psi|_H$ is

unbounded. This is the Delorme-Guichardet theorem (see[HaVa89, Theorem 5.20]) which, as is easily seen, carries over to pairs. We can clearly assume that $\psi(e) = 0$. By Schoenberg's theorem, $\varphi_t = \exp(-t\psi)$ is a normalized function of positive type on G for every $t > 0$. Let $(\pi_t, \mathcal{H}_t, \xi_t)$ be the triple associated to φ_t by GNS-contruction, that is, π_t is a cyclic unitary representation of G on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_t$, with cyclic unit vector ξ_t such that $\varphi_t(g) = \langle \pi_t(g)\xi_t, \xi_t\rangle$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $(t_n)_n$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\lim_n t_n = 0$ and set

$$\pi = \bigoplus_n \pi_{t_n}.$$

Since $\lim_n \exp(-t_n\psi(g)) = 1$ uniformly on compact subsets of G, the representation π almost has invariant vectors. We claim that, for every $t > 0$, the restriction of the representation π_t to H and hence the restriction of π of H contains no (non-zero) finite dimensional subrepresentation.

Fix $t > 0$. The GNS-construction applied to $\psi_t = t\psi$ shows that there exists an action α_t of G by affine isometries on some real Hilbert space such that $\psi_t(x) = \|\alpha_t(x)0\|^2$ for all $x \in G$. Since $\psi_t|_H$ is unbounded, we can find a sequence $(x_i)_i$ in H such that $\lim_i \psi_t(x_i) = +\infty$. For $a, b \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\psi_t(ab)} &= \|\alpha_t(ab)0 - 0\| = \|\alpha_t(b)0 - \alpha_t(a^{-1})0\| \\
&\leq \|\alpha_t(b)0 - 0\| + \|0 - \alpha_t(a^{-1})0\| = \|\alpha_t(b)0 - 0\| + \|\alpha_t(a)0 - 0\| \\
&= \sqrt{\psi_t(a)} + \sqrt{\psi_t(b)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\sqrt{\psi_t(ax_ib)} \leq \sqrt{\psi_t(x_i)} + \sqrt{\psi_t(b)} + \sqrt{\psi_t(a)}.$$

and therefore $\lim_i \psi_t(ax_ib) = +\infty$, that is,

$$\lim_i \varphi_t(ax_ib) = 0, \qquad \forall a, b \in G.$$

Since ξ_t is a cyclic vector for π_t, it follows that $\lim_i \langle \pi_t(x_i)\eta_1, \eta_2\rangle = 0$ for all $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_t$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a finite dimensional H-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}_t$ and let $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$. Upon passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $(\pi_t(x_i)\eta)_i$ is *norm* convergent to some vector $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Since $\lim_i \langle \pi_t(x_i)\eta, \eta_0\rangle = 0$, we have $\eta_0 = 0$ and hence $\eta = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{K} = 0$. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 6

Let $G \to H$ be a continuous homomorphism between locally compact groups with dense image. It is well-known that if G has Property (T), then H has Property (T)(see [HaVa89]). The corresponding statement for C^*-algebras is as follows and its proof is straightforward.

Lemma 9 *Let A_1 be a unital C^*-algebra admitting tracial states and $B_1 \subset A_1$ be a C^*-subalgebra. Let A_2 be a unital C^*-algebra admitting tracial states (respectively, a finite von Neumann algebra), and let $f : A_1 \to A_2$ be a unital $*$-homomorphism. Let $B_2 = f(B_1)$ (respectively, let B_2 be the von Neumann subalgebra of A_2 generated by $f(B_1)$). If the pair (A_1, B_1) has Property (T), then the pair (A_2, B_2) has Property (T).* ■

We proceed now with the proof of Theorem 6. To show that (i) implies (ii), assume that the pair (Γ, Λ) has Property (T). Then there exists a Kazhdan pair (S, ε), that is, a finite subset S of Γ and $\varepsilon > 0$ with the following property: if $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of Γ and if there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\max_{s\in S} \|\pi(s)\xi - \xi\| < \varepsilon$, then $\mathcal{H}$ has a non-zero Λ-invariant vector.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert bimodule of $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$. Viewing Γ as a subset of $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$, we define two commuting unitary representations π_1 and π_2 of Γ on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\pi_1(\gamma)\xi = \gamma\xi \qquad \text{and} \qquad \pi_2(\gamma)\xi = \xi\gamma^{-1}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ has a unit vector ξ such that

$$\|s\xi - \xi s\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall s \in S.$$

Then

$$\|\pi_1(s)\pi_2(s)\xi - \xi\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall s \in S.$$

Hence, there exists a non-zero vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ with

$$\pi_1(\gamma)\pi_2(\gamma)\eta = \eta, \qquad \forall \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

Since the linear span of Λ is dense in $C^*_{\max}(\Lambda)$, we have $x\eta = \eta x$ for all $x \in C^*_{\max}(\Lambda)$, that is, η is $C^*_{\max}(\Lambda)$-central.

The fact that (ii) implies (iii) and that (iii) implies (iv) follows from the previous lemma, since $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is a quotient of $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$ and since $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is weak-* dense in $L(\Gamma)$.

The proof that (iv) implies (i) is an adaptation of the proof of [CoJo85, Theorem 2]. Indeed, assume that $(L(\Gamma), L(\Lambda))$ has Property (T). Choose a finite subset F of $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ as in Definition 5. We may assume that $\|y\| \leq 1$ for all $y \in F$. Let S be a finite subset of Γ such that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus S} |(y\delta_e)(\gamma)|^2 < \varepsilon^2/9$$

for all $y \in F$.

Let π be a unitary representation of Γ. Define two commuting unitary representations π_1 and π_2 of Γ on the Hilbert space tensor product $\ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$\pi_1(\gamma)\widetilde{\xi}(x) = \widetilde{\xi}(\gamma^{-1}x)$$

and

$$\pi_2(\gamma)\widetilde{\xi}(x) = \pi(\gamma)\widetilde{\xi}(x\gamma)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\widetilde{\xi} \in \ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$. Since π_1 and $\pi_2 \simeq \lambda_\Gamma \otimes \pi$ are equivalent to multiples of the regular representation λ_Γ, they extend to commuting representations of $L(\Gamma)$, so that $\ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ is a bimodule of $L(\Gamma)$.

Assume now that there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\max_{s \in S} \|\pi(s)\xi - \xi\| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let $\widetilde{\xi} \in \ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ be defined by $\widetilde{\xi}(e) = \xi$ and $\widetilde{\xi}(x) = 0$ otherwise, that is, $\widetilde{\xi} = \delta_e \otimes \xi$. Then, for every $y \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\widetilde{\xi} - \widetilde{\xi}y\|^2 &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |y\delta_e(\gamma)|^2 \|\pi(\gamma)\xi - \xi\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{9}\varepsilon^2 + \sum_{\gamma \in S} |y\delta_e(\gamma)|^2 \|\pi(\gamma)\xi - \xi\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{9}\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{9}\varepsilon^2 < \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a non-zero vector η in $\ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ which is $L(\Lambda)$-central. We then have

$$\eta(\gamma^{-1}x\gamma) = \pi(\gamma)\eta(x), \qquad \forall x \in \Gamma,\ \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

In particular, $x \to \|\eta(x)\|$ is a non-zero function in $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ which is invariant under conjugation by elements from Λ. Let $x_0 \in \Gamma$ be such that $\eta(x_0) \neq 0$.

It follows that its Λ-conjugacy class $\{\gamma^{-1}x_0\gamma \ : \ \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is finite. Let $\xi_0 = \eta(x_0) \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\{\pi(\gamma)\xi_0 \ : \ \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{H}$ and its linear span defines a finite dimensional subrepresentation of $\pi|_\Lambda$. It follows from Theorem 8 that the pair (Γ, Λ) has Property (T). ■

Remark 10 Let A be a C^*-algebra which is a quotient of $C^*_{\max}(\Gamma)$ and such that $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is a quotient of A. The same proof as above (with $\Lambda = \Gamma$ and with A instead of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$) shows that Γ has Property (T) if and only if A has Property (T). This proves Theorem 2.

4 Property (T) and nuclearity

As is well-known, a locally compact group with Property (T) which is amenable has to be compact (see [HaVa89, Chap.1, Proposition 6]). We will show that a similar fact is true for C^*-algebras with Property (T) which are nuclear. Recall that a C^*-algebra A is nuclear if, for any other C^*-algebra B, there a unique pre-C^*-norm on the algebraic tensor product $A \odot B$ (see [Take03, Chap. XV]). Recall also that, by work of Connes and Haagerup, the class of nuclear C^*-algebras coincides with the class of C^*-algebras which are amenable in the sense of B. Johnson (see [Rund02]).

Let τ be a tracial state on the unital C^*-algebra A. By the GNS-construction, τ defines an A- Hilbert bimodule, denoted by $L^2(A, \tau)$, which has a unit central vector $\eta \in L^2(A, \tau)$ such that $\tau(x) = \langle x\eta, \eta\rangle$ for all $x \in A$. More precisely, $N = \{x \in A \ : \ \tau(x^*x) = 0\}$ is a two-sided $*$-ideal in A. Define an inner product on the quotient A/N by $\langle x + N, y + N\rangle = \tau(y^*x)$. Let $L^2(A, \tau)$ be the Hilbert space completion of A/N. For each $a \in A$, the mappings $x \mapsto ax + N$ and $x \mapsto xa + N$ extend to bounded operators $\lambda(a)$ and $\rho(a)$ on $L^2(A, \tau)$, defining an A-bimodule structure on $L^2(A, \tau)$. The von Neumann algebras $\lambda(A)''$ and $\rho(A)''$ are commutant to each other. Moreover, $\eta = 1 + N$ is a cyclic and separating vector for $\lambda(A)''$ and $\rho(A)''$ and we have $\tau(x) = \langle x\eta, \eta\rangle$ for all $x \in A$.

The following proposition is inspired by [Popa86, Proposition 1.2.4.ii].

Proposition 11 *Let A be a unital C^*-algebra with Property (T). Assume that A is nuclear. Then, for any tracial state τ on A, the representation λ on the Hilbert space $L^2(A, \tau)$ is completely atomic, that is, $L^2(A, \tau)$ decomposes as a direct sum of finite dimensional A-submodules.*

Proof Let $L^2(A,\tau)_{\rm fin}$ be the purely atomic part of $L^2(A,\tau)$, that is, $L^2(A,\tau)_{\rm fin}$ is the closed subspace generated by all finite dimensional $\lambda(A)$-invariant subspaces. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the orthogonal complement of $L^2(A,\tau)_{\rm fin}$. Assume, by contradiction, that $\mathcal{H} \neq 0$. We have $\mathcal{H} = pL^2(A,\tau)$ for some projection p belonging to the centre $\lambda(A)'' \cap \rho(A)''$ of the finite von Neumann algebra $M = \lambda(A)''$. The space $\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is a pM-bimodule for the action

$$T \mapsto xTy, \qquad T \in \mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H}),\ x, y \in pM.$$

As A is nuclear, the finite von Neumann algebra pM acting on $\mathcal{H}$ is injective. Hence, there exists a conditional expectation $E : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \to pM$. The state Φ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $\Phi(T) = \tau(E(T))$ is a hypertrace: we have

$$\Phi(xT) = \Phi(Tx), \qquad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}),\ x \in pM.$$

It follows that there exist almost central vectors in $\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H})$, that is, there exists a net $(T_i) \in \mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|T_i\|_{\rm HS} = 1$ such that

$$\lim_i \|xT_i - T_i x\|_{\rm HS} = 0, \qquad \forall x \in pM$$

(see, for instance, [Take03, Chap. XV, Lemma 3.9]). Since A has Property (T), we find a non-zero operator $T \in \mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H})$ with $xT = Tx$ for all $x \in pM$. Looking at the eigenspaces of the compact self-adjoint operator T^*T, we deduce that $\mathcal{H}$ contains a non-zero finite dimensional $\lambda(A)$-invariant subspace. This is a contradiction. ■

Corollary 12 *Let A be a unital C^*-algebra with Property (T). Assume that there exists a tracial state τ of A such that $L^2(A,\tau)$ is not completely atomic. Then A is not nuclear.*■

Example 13 (i) Let Γ be a discrete group. For the canonical trace τ on $M = C^*_r(\Gamma)$, the Hilbert space $L^2(M,\tau)$ can be identified with $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, with bimodule structure coming from the left and right representations. If Γ is infinite, then $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ has no non-zero finite dimensional left invariant subspace. On the other hand, $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is nuclear if and only if Γ is amenable (see [Lanc73, Theorem 1.1]). Thus, using Theorem 2, we recover the fact that an infinite discrete group with Property (T) is not amenable.

(ii) All abelian unital C^*-algebras are of the form $C(X)$ for a compact topological space X. Such algebras are nuclear. If X is uncountable, there exists a regular probability measure μ on the Borel subsets of X which has a non atomic part. For such a measure, $L^2(X,\mu)$ does not decompose as a direct sum of finite dimensional subspaces, under the action of $C(X)$ as multiplication operators. As a consequence, $C(X)$ does not have Property (T) if X is uncountable.

Remark 14 Let Γ be a discrete group with Property (T) and M a finite injective von Neumann algebra. In connection with Proposition 11, the following result of Robertson ([Robe93]) is worth mentioning: if $\pi : \Gamma \to U(M)$ is a homomorphism of Γ into the unitary group of $U(M)$, then $\pi(\Gamma)$ has a compact closure in $U(M)$ for the strong topology; see also [Vale97]. In fact, the result is true more generally if M has the Haagerup approximation property ([Robe93]). This is the case for instance if $M = L(F_2)$, where F_2 is the free group on two generators.

5 Some remarks

Remark 15 Our definition of Property (T) (see Definition 1 above) makes sense for any C^*-algebra. However, with this definition, every unital C^*-algebra A without tracial states has Property (T). Indeed, otherwise, for every finite subset F of A and every $\varepsilon > 0$, we find a Hilbert bimodule $\mathcal{H}_{F,\varepsilon}$ for A which has a (F,ε)-central unit vector $\xi_{F,\varepsilon}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the direct sum of the $\mathcal{H}_{F,\varepsilon}$'s. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is an A-bimodule in an obvious way. For every pair (F,ε), consider the vector state $\varphi_{F,\varepsilon}$ on the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\xi_{F,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}$. Let φ be a weak*-limit point of the net $(\varphi_{F,\varepsilon})_{F,\varepsilon}$. Then $\tau : A \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\tau(x) = \varphi(\pi(x))$ is a tracial state on A, where π is the representation on $\mathcal{H}$ given by, say, the left action of A. This is a contradiction.

Remark 16 The definition of Property (T) given in Definition 1 makes sense for an arbitrary (normed) algebra A. It can even be further extended as follows. Let B be a fixed Banach space and consider all (continuous) A-bimodule structures on B. We say that A has Property (T_B) if there exists a finite subset F of A and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, whenever, for some A-bimodule structure on B, there exists a unit (F,ε)-central vector, then B has a non-zero central vector.

Remark 17 A notion of co-rigid inclusion $B \subset M$ for a pair consisting of a finite von Neumann algebra M and a von Neumann subalgebra B was defined by Popa (see [Popa86, Definition 4.1.3], where the inclusion $B \subset M$ is called rigid; see also [Anan87]). In a similar way, let $B \subset A$ be a pair consisting of a unital C^*-algebra A admitting a tracial state and a C^*-subalgebra B. We say that $B \subset A$ is co-rigid if there exist a finite subset F of A and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following property holds: if a Hilbert bimodule $\mathcal{H}$ for A contains a unit vector ξ which is (F, ε)-central and which is central for B (that is, $b\xi = \xi b$ for all $b \in B$) then $\mathcal{H}$ has a non-zero vector which is central for A.

Remark 18 In Definition 1, we do not require that the C^*-algebra A has a *faithful* tracial state, that is, a tracial state τ such that $\tau(x^*x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in A$ with $x \neq 0$. Indeed, the group $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ has Property (T) but, as was shown by the author (unpublished), its maximal C^*-algebra $C^*_{\max}(SL_3(\mathbb{Z}))$ has no faithful tracial state.

Remark 19 Let M be a type II_1 factor with Property (T). Let $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ denote the group of all automorphisms of M equipped with the topology of pointwise norm convergence on the predual of M. It was shown in [Conn80] that the normal subgroup $\mathrm{Inn}(M)$ of inner automorphisms of M is open in $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$. Such a result cannot be expected for C^*-algebras. Indeed, let A be a separable unital C^*-algebra A, and let $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. It is known that, if A is does not have a continuous trace, then $\overline{\mathrm{Inn}(A)}/\mathrm{Inn}(A)$ is uncountable ([Phil87]). In particular, this is true for $A = C^*_r(\Gamma)$ when Γ is a countable group which is not of type I. This is the case for "most" discrete groups: by [Thom68], Γ is of type I if and only if Γ contains an abelian normal subgroup of finite index. However, imitating the proof of [Conn80], we have the following result. Let Γ be a discrete group with Property (T). The subgroup $\mathrm{Inn}(L(\Gamma)) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(C^*_r(\Gamma))$ is open in $\mathrm{Aut}(C^*_r(\Gamma))$. Observe that $\mathrm{Inn}(L(\Gamma)) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(C^*_r(\Gamma))$ contains $\overline{\mathrm{Inn}(C^*_r(\Gamma))}$ when Γ is ICC and has Property (T); see [Phil87, Corollary 3.2].

References

[Anan87] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche. On Connes' property T for von Neumann algebras. *Math. Japon.* **32**, 337-355 (1987)

[BeHa94] B. Bekka and P. de la Harpe. Représentations d'un groupe faiblement équivalentes à la représentation régulière. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **122**, 333-342 (1994)

[BeVa93] B. Bekka and A. Valette. Kazhdan's property (T) and amenable representations. *Math. Z.* **212**, 293-299 (1993)

[Conn80] A. Connes. A factor of type II_1 with countable fundamental group. *J. Oper. Theory* **4**, 151-153(1980)

[Conn82] A. Connes. Classification des facteurs. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **38**, part 2, 43-109 (1982)

[CoJo85] A. Connes and V. Jones. Property (T) for von Neumann algebras. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **17**, 51-62 (1985)

[CoSt91] M. Cowling and T. Steger. The irreducibility of restrictions of unitary representations to lattices. *J. reine angew. Math.* **420**, 85-98 (1991)

[HaVa89] P. de la Harpe and A. Valette. *La propriété (T) pour les groupes localement compacts.* Astérisque 175, Soc. Math. de France, 1989.

[Joli93] P. Jolissaint. Property (T) for discrete groups in terms of their regular representation. *Math. Ann.* **297**, 539-551 (1993)

[Joli04] P. Jolissaint. On Property (T) for pairs of topological groups. Preprint 2004

[Kazh67] D. Kazhdan. Connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **1**, 63-65 (1967)

[Lanc73] E.C. Lance. On nuclear C^*-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **12** , 157-176 (1973)

[PePo03] J. Peterson and S. Popa. On the notion of relative Property (T) for inclusions of von Neumann algebras. Preprint 2004 (arXiv:math.OA/0402417)

[Phil87] J. Phillips. Outer automorphisms of separable C^*-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **70** , 111-116 (1987)

[Popa86] S. Popa. Correspondences. INCREST preprint 1986

[Popa03] S. Popa. On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants. Preprint 2003 (arXiv:math.OA/0209130v6), to appear in Ann. Math.

[Robe93] G. Robertson. Property (T) for II_1 factors an unitary representations of Kazhdan groups. *Math. Ann.* **296** , 547-555 (1993)

[Rund02] V. Runde. *Lectures on amenability.* Lecture Notes Math. **1774**, Springer 2002.

[Take03] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras.* Springer 2003.

[Thom68] E. Thoma. Eine Charakterisierung diskreter Gruppen vom Typ I. *Inventiones Math.* **6** , 190-196 (1968)

[Vale97] A. Valette. Amenable representations and finite injective von Neumann algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** , 1841-1843 (1997)

Address
Bachir Bekka, UFR Mathématique, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes Cedex, France
E-mail : bachir.bekka@univ-rennes1.fr